Tracking a Cohort in the Board

Reading Intersection by Level - Grade 3 (2014-2015) and Grade 6 (2017-2018)

| | Reading | | Grade 6 in 2017–2018 | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|--------|--|
| | Number of Students in the Cohort = $5\ 103^*$ | | | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | NE1 | No Data | Exempt | |
| | Level 4 | 704 | 41% | 58% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | |
| -2015 | Level 3 | 2 868 | 10% | 81% | 7% | 1% | 0% | 1% | <1% | |
| 2014-2 | Level 2 | 1 236 | <1% | 59% | 36% | 3% | <1% | 1% | <1% | |
| in 20 | Level 1 | 143 | 0% | 31% | 59% | 6% | 2% | 1% | 2% | |
| 3 | NE1 | 29 | 0% | 31% | 52% | 7% | 3% | 0% | 7% | |
| Grade | No Data | 21 | 5% | 43% | 33% | 5% | 0% | 10% | 5% | |
| | Exempt | 102 | 0% | 18% | 25% | 5% | 1% | 1% | 51% | |

Highlights - Grade 3 students in 2014-2015 at:

Level 4: 58% move to Level 3 in Grade 6

- Level 3: 10% moved to Level 4, 7% moved to Level 2 in Grade 6
- Level 2: 59% moved to Level 3 in Grade 6
- Level 1: 31% moved to Level 3, 59% to Level 2 in Grade 6

Writing Intersection by Level - Grade 3 (2014-2015) and Grade 6 (2017-2018)

| | Writing | | Grade 6 in 2017–2018 | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|--------|--|
| Number of Students in the Cohort = $5\ 103^{*}$ | | | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | NE1 | No Data | Exempt | |
| | Level 4 | 359 | 69% | 29% | 1% | 0% | 0% | 1% | 0% | |
| 2015 | Level 3 | 3 702 | 24% | 66% | 9% | 1% | <1% | 1% | <1% | |
| 2014-2015 | Level 2 | 862 | 3% | 57% | 35% | 3% | 1% | <1% | 1% | |
| in 20 | Level 1 | 42 | 0% | 52% | 40% | 2% | 0% | 2% | 2% | |
| 3 | NE1 | 15 | 0% | 27% | 53% | 7% | 7% | 0% | 7% | |
| Grade | No Data | 26 | 8% | 50% | 19% | 4% | 8% | 8% | 4% | |
| | Exempt | 97 | 0% | 18% | 24% | 4% | 0% | 2% | 53% | |

Highlights - Grade 3 students in 2014-2015 at:

- Level 4: 29% moved to Level 3 in Grade 6
- Level 3: 24% moved to Level 4, 9% to Level 2 in Grade 6
- **Level 2:** 57% moved to Level 3 in Grade 6
- Level 1: 52% moved to Level 3, 40% moved to Level 2 in Grade 6

Mathematics Intersection by Level - Grade 3 (2014-2015) and Grade 6 (2017-2018)

| | Mathematics | 5 | Grade 6 in 2017–2018 | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|-------------------|--|
| | Number of Students in the Cohort = 5 291* | | | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | NE1 | No Data | Exempt | |
| | Level 4 | <mark>669</mark> | 47% | 45% | 6% | 1% | 0% | 1% | <1% | |
| 2015 | Level 3 | 2 722 | 12% | 50% | 30% | 7% | <1% | <1% | <1% | |
| 2014-2 | Level 2 | 1 562 | 1% | 16% | 43% | 38% | 1% | 1% | <1% | |
| in 20 | Level 1 | 195 | 0% | 5% | 22% | 67% | 4% | 1% | 2% | |
| 3 | NE1 | 23 | 0% | 4% | 4% | 74% | 13% | 4% | 0% | |
| Grade | No Data | 24 | 12% | 12% | 25% | 38% | 0% | 8% | 4% | |
| | Exempt | 96 | 1% | 3% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 1% | <mark>5</mark> 5% | |

Highlights - Grade 3 students in 2014-2015 at:

Level 4: 45% moved to Level 3; 6% moved to Level 2 in Grade 6

Level 3: 30% moved to Level 2; 12% moved to Level 4 in Grade 6

Level 2: 38% moved to Level 1; 16% moved to Level 3 in Grade 6

Level 1: 22% moved to Level 2; 5% moved to Level 3 in Grade 6

Intersection by Level - Grade 6 (2014-2015) and Grade 9 (2017-2018)

| | Applied Course | | | Grade 9 in 2017–2018 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|---------|----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|----|--|--|
| Number of Students in the Cohort = 1 175* | | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Below Level 1 | No Data | | | |
| | Level 4 | 10 | 40% | 60% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| -2015 | Level 3 | 145 | 32% | 46% | 17% | 2% | 2% | 1% | | |
| 2014-2 | Level 2 | 552 | 13% | 47% | 29% | 9% | 1% | 1% | | |
| in 20 | Level 1 | 449 | 3% | 25% | 35% | 27% | 8% | 2% | | |
| 9 | NE1 | 4 | 0% | 0% | 75% | 25% | 0% | 0% | | |
| Grade | No Data | 9 | 11% | 44% | 33% | 0% | 11% | 0% | | |
| | Exempt | 6 | 0% | 33% | 17% | 33% | 17% | 0% | | |

Applied Mathematics

Highlights - Grade 6 students in 2014-2015 at:

- Level 3: 32% moved to Level 4; 17% moved to Level 2 in Grade 9
- Level 2: 47% moved to Level 3; 13% moved to Level 4; 9% moved to Level 1 in Grade 9
- Level 1: 35% moved to Level 2; 25% moved to Level 3; 3% moved to Level 4; 8% moved Below Level 1 in Grade 9

Academic Mathematics

| | Academic Co | ourse | Grade 9 in 2017–2018 | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|-----|--|
| Number of Students in the Cohort = 3 376* | | Level 4 | Level 3 | Level 2 | Level 1 | Below Level 1 | No Data | | |
| | Level 4 | 677 | 36% | 61% | 3% | 0% | 0% | <1% | |
| 2015 | Level 3 | 1 637 | 9% | 82% | 7% | 2% | <1% | <1% | |
| in 2014–2015 | Level 2 | 943 | 1% | 64% | 24% | 9% | 1% | <1% | |
| in 20 | Level 1 | 105 | 0% | 38% | 29% | 32% | 1% | 0% | |
| 9 | NE1 | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| Grade | No Data | 10 | 20% | 60% | 10% | 10% | 0% | 0% | |
| | Exempt | 4 | 0% | 75% | 25% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |

Academic Mathematics

Highlights - Grade 6 students in 2014-2015 at:

- Level 3: 9% moved to Level 4 in Grade 9
- **Level 2:** 64% moved to Level 3; 9% moved to Level 1 in Grade 9
- Level 1: 29% moved to Level 2; 38% moved to Level 3 in Grade 9

Intersection by Outcome - Grade 6 (2014-2015) and OSSLT (2017-2018)

| | Reading | J | OSSLT | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|------------|----------------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Number of Students in the Cohort = 5 455* | | | Successful | Not Successful | Absent | Deferred | | |
| | Level 4 | 591 | 98% | 1% | <1% | 1% | | |
| | Level 3 | 3 525 | 86% | 10% | 1% | 3% | | |
| و | Level 2 | 1 122 | 37% | 48% | 1% | 14% | | |
| Grade | Level 1 | 147 | 9% | 52% | 1% | 38% | | |
| Ű | NE1 | 6 | 17% | 33% | 17% | 33% | | |
| | No Data | 21 | 67% | 24% | 0% | 10% | | |
| | Exempt | 43 | 7% | 28% | 0% | 65% | | |

Reading

Highlights - Grade 6 students in 2014-2015 at:

- Level 4: 98% were successful in Grade 10 OSSLT
- Level 3: 86% were successful; 10% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT
- Level 2: 37% were successful; 48% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT
- Level 1: 9% were successful; 52% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT

| | Writing | | OSSLT | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|------------|----------------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Number of Students in the Cohort = 5 455* | | | Successful | Not Successful | Absent | Deferred | | |
| | Level 4 | 743 | 97% | 1% | <1% | 1% | | |
| | Level 3 | 3 719 | 81% | 15% | 1% | 4% | | |
| 9 | Level 2 | 879 | 35% | 47% | 1% | 17% | | |
| Grade | Level 1 | 37 | 30% | 35% | 0% | 35% | | |
| Ű | NE1 | 12 | 42% | 17% | 0% | 42% | | |
| | No Data | 23 | 61% | 22% | 4% | 13% | | |
| | Exempt | 42 | 7% | 29% | 0% | 64% | | |

Writing

Highlights - Grade 6 students in 2014-2015 at:

Level 4: 97% were successful in Grade 10 OSSLT

Level 3: 81% were successful; 15% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT

Level 2: 35% were successful; 35% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT

Level 1: 30% were successful; 35% were not successful in Grade 10 OSSLT