

CORPORATE SERVICES, STRATEGIC PLANNING AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE

ELEMENTARY BOUNDARY REVIEW RANKING 2021-2022 (ALL WARDS)

An intelligent mind acquires knowledge, and the ear of the wise seeks knowledge. Proverbs 18:15

Created, Draft	First Tabling	Review			
September 29, 2021	October 14, 2021	Click here to enter a date.			
J. Howley, Sr. Manager of Planning & Accountability B. Leporati, Sr. Coordinator of Planning					
M. Loberto, Superintendent of Planning and Development					

RECOMMENDATION REPORT

Vision:

At Toronto Catholic we transform the world through witness, faith, innovation and action.

Mission:

The Toronto Catholic District School Board is an inclusive learning community uniting home, parish and school and rooted in the love of Christ.

We educate students to grow in grace and knowledge to lead lives of faith, hope and charity.



Brendan Browne, PhD Director of Education

A. Della Mora Associate Director of Academic Affairs and Chief Operating Officer

D. Boyce Associate Director of Facilities, Business and Community Development

R. Putnam Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Board last approved a priority ranking of elementary boundary reviews in 2016. Seven boundary reviews have been completed since that approval, including reviews that were required as a result of opening new schools. Significant changes in the availability of space, programming, and demographics, as well as discussions with area Trustees, relevant Board motions, and approvals, have necessitated the provision of an updated priority ranking.

This report provides information regarding the methodology applied in evaluating school groupings and recommends areas to be considered for review. Reviews approved as part of the previous priority ranking that have not yet completed have been reassessed to confirm circumstances still exist that warrant completion of the review.

The cumulative staff time required to prepare this report was 25 hours.

B. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide a revised priority ranking of elementary boundary reviews for the consideration and approval of Trustees.

C. BACKGROUND

- 1. The Elementary School Attendance Boundary Review Policy (S.A. 03) (Appendix 'A') was last reviewed and approved by the Board on May 19, 2019. A flow chart summarizing the Policy is included as Appendix 'B' to this report.
- 2. The Board last approved a priority ranking of boundary reviews on January 21, 2016 (Appendix 'C'). Seven reviews have been completed since that approval inclusive of the three reviews listed in Cycle #1. They are as follows:

School Groupings	Type
Our Lady of Perpetual Help	Cycle #1
St. Anselm, St. Monica, Holy Cross, Canadian	Cycle #1
Martyrs, St. John XXIII	
St. Gregory, Nativity of Our Lord, Mother	Cycle #1
Cabrini, St. Marcellus, Our Lady of Sorrows.	_

The Holy Trinity, St. Leo, St. Ambrose	New School	
St. Edward, St. Antoine Daniel, St. Gabriel,	Immediate Enrolment	
St. Paschal Baylon.	Pressure	
St. Mary, Bishop Macdonell	New School	
Josyf Cardinal Slipyj, St. Josaphat	School Relocation	
St. Michael, St. Paul, Our Lady of Lourdes	Completed as part of	
	School Accommodation	
	Review	

D. EVIDENCE/RESEARCH/ANALYSIS

- 1. **Significant changes** in the availability of space, programming, and demographics trends, as well as discussions with area Trustees, relevant Board motions, and approvals, *have necessitated the provision of an updated priority ranking*.
- 2. Boundary reviews outstanding from January 21, 2016, approved list have been assessed in terms of the continued need for review:

Reviews to be Carried Over	Reason			
St. Maria Goretti, St. Lawrence, St. Albert,	There is a continued need to balance			
and *St. Joachim	enrolment at the oversubscribed			
	locations.			
Reviews No Longer Required	Reason			
St. Edmund Campion, St. Thomas More	Changes in demographics have			
St. Kateri Tekakwitha, St. Gerald.	resulted in balanced enrolment.			
	These schools will continue to be			
	monitored for inclusion in future			
	rankings.			

^{*}Note: Staff recommend removing St. Joachim from this grouping for inclusion of a newly identified grouping.

- 3. Two groupings were deferred pending the initiation of capital construction projects.
 - St. Margaret, Blessed Sacrament, Our Lady of the Assumption Approved construction of a new elementary school on the Baycrest site will be included in this review.

- On July 9, 2017, the Board considered *St. Margaret Catholic School Accommodation Options (Ward 5), and* approved the following motion in part;
 - "... That, subject to the implementation of a two (2) school option, east and west of Bathurst Street, a boundary review involving St. Margaret and Our Lady of the Assumption be initiated."
- o The design of the new facility is underway and the boundary review for this group of schools will be initiated in the fall of 2022 in order to include members of the communities that will benefit from the new school. This grouping will be carried forward in the revised boundary review ranking.
- St. Victor (received an addition), St. Richard, St. Rose of Lima (received an FDK Addition) The completion of two capital additions have addressed enrolment pressures in this area. This review is no longer required.
- 4. The following criteria were used to identify areas of enrolment pressure or capacity deficiency that can be alleviated through the implementation of a boundary review:
 - a. School Size
 - b. Site Size
 - c. School Utilization Rate
 - d. School Utilization Rate assuming Students already within Fixed Attendance Boundary
 - e. Projected enrolment trends
 - f. Portable Count
- 5. Based on a comprehensive evaluation of all elementary schools using the above criteria, the *top schools were grouped along with neighbouring schools that would be affected by a boundary change*. These groups, along with groups carried forward from the approved 2016 list, were *then ranked based on the combined utilization rate*, *considering any current or planned funded capital projects*.

	G 1 1	Utiliza- tion	Adjacent Schools	Utiliza- tion	Cluster				
Group	School identified with pressures				ENR (2021 Project ed)	OTG	Total Utiliza -tion	SO Area	Trustee Ward
			St. Agatha	91.4%		1452	97.8%		Dal
1	St. Boniface	107.3%	St. Nicholas	88.1%	1420			Aguiar & Peterson	Del Grande & Crawford
			St. Ursula	84.0%				Peterson	
	St Maria		St. Lawrence	106.7%	1768	1858	95.2%	Aguiar & Peterson	Del Grande & Crawford
2	St. Maria Goretti	114.5%	St. Albert	62.6%					
	Goretti		* St. Joachim	N/A					
	3 Our Lady of Fatima	110.8%	Canadian Martyrs	87.0%	1755	1896	92.6%	Peterson & Dixon	Crawford & & Kennedy
3			St. Dunstan	79.9%					
			*St. Joachim	76.5%					
		107.9%	St. Angela	76.4%	1689	2229	9 75.8%	Cifelli	Martino
4	4 St. Andrew		Msgr John Corrigan	73.2%					
		St. Dorothy	46.1%						
5	St. Jean de Brebeuf	86.9%	St. Dominic Savio	64.4%	425	582	73.0%	Peterson	Tanuan

New or Replacement Facilities									
Our Lady of the Assumpt ion		154.7%	St. Margaret	172.1%	959	1130	84.9%	Campbell	Rizzo
	Assumpt		Baycrest	N/A					
\circ	Buttonw ood N/A	Our Lady of Sorrows	112.2%				D .	De	
			St. Gregory	125.5%	2182	2451	1 89.0%	Bria, Cifelli	Domeni co, Lubinski
			All Saints	116.2%					Laumski

^{*} *Note(s):*

- 3) Blessed Sacrament has been removed from this grouping as the new Baycrest site is located directly within the service area of Our Lady of the Assumption and St. Margaret. The capacity for the new Baycrest site has been added to the grouping.
- 4) Dates are tentative based on construction timelines for new facilities. Reviews will be completed prior to the initial active registration period.
- 6. Any new or replacement facility will be assessed by Planning for potential boundary impacts at the start of the construction project and added to the priority list with surrounding impacted schools if a review is deemed necessary. Every effort will be made to complete the review prior to the initial active registration period.
- 7. The Boundary Review process requires two to six months to complete, dependent on committee agreement and reporting requirements. As indicated in the Policy, representation and consultation with the school community is a key component in achieving a positive solution for all concerned. Any boundary changes resulting from the process would be effective for the following school year and in place for the next available registration period.

¹⁾ A map showing the locations of the Boundary Review Groups can be seen in Appendix 'D'.

²⁾ Demographics trends indicate that St. Joachim would benefit more when added to Group 3.

E. IMPLEMENTATION, STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS AND STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT PLAN

- 1. **Subject to Board approval** of the priority ranking and initiation of attendance boundary reviews, **school communities will be informed about the initiation of the review** process and the timelines and procedures involved in accordance with policy.
- 2. Superintendents and Trustees of each school group will be contacted by *Planning staff* to inform them about the process and procedures and to review materials related to the boundary review in their area.
- 3. Superintendents will then establish a stakeholder committee in accordance with Elementary School Attendance Boundary Review Policy (S.A.03).

F. STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

1. That the revised Boundary Review Priority Ranking as shown below be approved.

Group	School identified with pressures	Adjacent Schools	SO Area	Trustee Ward
1	St. Boniface	St. Agatha St. Nicholas St. Ursula	Aguiar & Peterson	Del Grande & Crawford
2	St. Maria Goretti	St. Lawrence St. Albert	Aguiar & Peterson	Del Grande & Crawford
3	Our Lady of Fatima	Canadian Martyrs St. Dunstan	Peterson & Dixon	Crawford & Kennedy

		St. Joachim		
4	St. Andrew	St. Angela Msgr John Corrigan St. Dorothy	Cifelli	Martino
5	St. Jean de Brebeuf	St. Dominic Savio	Peterson	Tanuan
		New or Replace	ment Facilities	
*2022	Our Lady of the Assumption	St. Margaret Baycrest	Campbell	Rizzo
*2024	Buttonwood	Our Lady of Sorrows St. Gregory	Bria, Cifelli	De Domenico, Lubinski
		All Saints		

^{*}Notes: Dates are tentative based on construction timelines for new facilities. Reviews will be completed prior to the initial active registration period.

2. That the Review Groups be approved for initiation and implementation in accordance with the *Elementary School Attendance Boundary Review Policy* (S.A. 03).