

Categories of Consideration	Criteria	Option A - Single Intersection Right-Angle at Sheppard Ave.	Option B - Single Intersection Skewed at Sheppard Ave.	Option C - Offset Intersection Maintaining Doris Ave. Alignment	Option D - Offset Intersection Realigning Doris Ave.
TRANSPORTATION	Accommodation of east-west travel for motorists and cyclists on Sheppard Ave.	Good	Good	Poor	Moderate
	Accommodation of north-south travel for motorists and cyclists on Tradewind Ave./Doris Ave.	Good	Good	Poor	Poor
	City street and sidewalk maintenance	Good	Good	Poor	Poor
	Accommodation of north-south travel for pedestrians on Tradewind Ave./Doris Ave.	Good	Good	Moderate	Moderate
	Conformance to roadway geometric design standards	Poor	Good	Moderate	Moderate
	High-speed collisions	Moderate	Moderate	Good	Good
		More likely in comparison to option C&D due to right-angle 4-way intersection (although site context makes this less likely than at suburban or rural locations).	More likely in comparison to option C&D due to right-angle 4-way intersection (although site context makes this less likely than at suburban or rural locations).	Low potential due to separate 3-way intersections.	Low potential due to separate 3-way intersections.

TRANSPORTATION	<i>Vehicle queue spillback between study area intersections on Sheppard Ave.</i>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Moderate</b>
		Low potential.	Low potential.	High potential due to close proximity of Tradewind Ave. and Doris Ave.	Moderate potential, assuming both intersections coordinated with one set of signal timings.
	<i>Conflicts at adjacent driveways</i>	<b>Poor</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>
		Driveways from former Bonnington Pl. residences will front onto Tradewind Ave. Access to parking garage at 45-47 Sheppard Ave. will be accommodated.	No issues. Access to parking garage at 45-47 Sheppard Ave. will be accommodated.	No issues. Access to parking garage at 45-47 Sheppard Ave. will be accommodated.	No issues. Access to parking garage at 45-47 Sheppard Ave. will be accommodated.
	<i>Function of Tradewind Ave./Doris Ave. as a parallel route to Yonge St. that provides access to residential, business and institutional buildings in North York Centre</i>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>Moderate</b>
	Achieves function as a continuous north-south route.	Achieves function as a continuous north-south route.	Achieves function better than the existing road network, but the route is still discontinuous at Sheppard Ave.	Achieves function better than the existing road network, but the route is still discontinuous at Sheppard Ave.	

Categories of Consideration	Criteria	Option A - Single Intersection Right-Angle at Sheppard Ave.	Option B - Single Intersection Skewed at Sheppard Ave.	Option C - Offset Intersection Maintaining Doris Ave. Alignment	Option D - Offset Intersection Realigning Doris Ave.
NATURAL / CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT	<i>Alteration of existing terrestrial features.</i>	Poor	Poor	Good	Moderate
	<i>Effects on air quality<sup>1</sup></i>	Good	Good	Moderate	Moderate
	<i>Impacts on heritage features (displaced or disrupted)</i>	Poor	Poor	Good	Good
	<i>Impacts on archaeological resources</i>	Good	Good	Good	Good
		No impact to undisturbed lands.	No impact to undisturbed lands.	No impact to undisturbed lands.	No impact to undisturbed lands.

<sup>1</sup> Taken from the 1998 ESR Preferred Option Air Quality Supporting Study.

Categories of Consideration	Criteria	Option A - Single Intersection Right-Angle at Sheppard Ave.	Option B - Single Intersection Skewed at Sheppard Ave.	Option C - Offset Intersection Maintaining Doris Ave. Alignment	Option D - Offset Intersection Realigning Doris Ave.
<b>SOCIAL / ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT</b>	<i>Supports current and future residential and commercial growth in North York Centre</i>	Good	Good	Moderate	Moderate
	<i>Consistency with the policies and schedules of the North York Centre Secondary Plan</i>	Poor	Poor	Good	Good
	<i>Proximity to existing Downtown Plan Boundary (Anndale to Avondale)</i>	Moderate	Moderate	Good	Good
	<i>Impacts on property due to partial acquisition or construction</i>	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate

		Good	Good	Good	Good
SOCIAL / ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT	Impacts on parking	Low as parking is removed from Doris Ave. between Sheppard Ave. and Greenfield Ave. but added on Tradewind Ave. between Anndale Dr. and Avondale Ave. (interim condition). No impact to underground parking at 45-47 Sheppard Ave.	Low as parking is removed from Doris Ave. between Sheppard Ave. and Greenfield Ave. but added on Tradewind Ave. between Anndale Dr. and Avondale Ave. (interim condition). No impact to underground parking at 45-47 Sheppard Ave.	Low as parking is removed from Doris Ave. between Sheppard Ave. and Greenfield Ave. but added on Tradewind Ave. between Anndale Dr. and Avondale Ave. (interim condition). No impact to underground parking at 45-47 Sheppard Ave.	Low as parking is removed from Doris Ave. between Sheppard Ave. and Greenfield Ave. but added on Tradewind Ave. between Anndale Dr. and Avondale Ave. (interim condition). No impact to underground parking at 45-47 Sheppard Ave.
	Impacts on future Open Space	Poor	Poor	Good	Good
	Need to acquire private property	High potential effects on future Open Space as some connectivity is provided, but broken up at Bonnington Place. Highest effect occurs to the Crown Realty property (Moorehead park): 0.53 ha of park and 0.25 ha of open space.	High potential effects on future Open Space as some connectivity is provided, but broken up at Bonnington Place. Highest effect occurs to the Crown Realty property (Moorehead park): 0.53 ha of park and 0.21 ha of open space.	Low potential effects on future Open Space as a high level of connectivity is provided. Minimal effects on the Crown Realty property (Moorehead park): 0.55 ha of park and 0.21 ha of open space.	Moderate potential effects on future Open Space as a high level of connectivity is provided. Moderate effects to Crown Realty property (Moorehead park): 0.51 ha of park and 0.28 ha of open space.
	Short-term construction-related nuisance effects such as noise and dust on area residents/businesses	Poor	Poor	Poor	Poor
	Short-term effects on accessing residential properties during construction	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
	Conflicts with existing municipal and utility services	Good	Good	Good	Good
	Construction staging	No residential properties access temporarily disrupted.			
		Moderate	Moderate	Good	Good
		More conflicts with existing municipal and utility services	More conflicts with existing municipal and utility services	Less conflicts with existing municipal and utility services	Less conflicts with existing municipal and utility services

Categories of Consideration	Criteria	Option A - Single Intersection Right-Angle at Sheppard Ave.	Option B - Single Intersection Skewed at Sheppard Ave.	Option C - Offset Intersection Maintaining Doris Ave. Alignment	Option D - Offset Intersection Realigning Doris Ave.
<b>FINANCIAL</b>	<i>Cost of acquiring additional private property</i>	To be determined	To be determined	To be determined	To be determined
	<i>Capital cost of implementation</i>	\$7.1 Million	\$7.1 Million	\$3.0 Million	\$3.4 Million
<b>SUMMARY</b>		Based on all of the factors Option A was ranked #2. Despite its high cost of implementation it adequately addressed the long-term solution in terms of the Transportation criteria and achieving the defined Problem/ Opportunity Statement, and its Natural/ Cultural and Social/ Economic impacts can be managed.	Based on all of the factors Option B was ranked #1. Despite its high cost of implementation it is expected to be the best long-term solution in terms of the Transportation criteria and achieving the defined Problem/ Opportunity Statement, and its Natural/ Cultural and Social/ Economic impacts can be managed.	Based on all of the factors Option C was ranked #4. Although it has the lowest implementation cost and has minimal impact on Moorhead Park, it does not meet the specific problems and opportunities to be addressed as set out in this study, mainly in relation to key Transportation criteria.	Based on all of the factors Option D was ranked #3. Although it has a low implementation cost and has minimal impact on Moorhead Park, it only partially meets the specific problems and opportunities to be addressed as set out in this study, mainly in relation to key Transportation criteria.
<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>		NOT RECOMMENDED	<b>RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE</b>	NOT RECOMMENDED	NOT RECOMMENDED